

Engineering TA Evaluations

Course: CS 6210

Semester: Fall 2016

Number of Responses: 22

Faculty Name: Bindel

TA Name: David Eriksson

1="Never or Poor", 2="Rarely or Fair", 3="Sometimes or Satisfactory", 4="Frequently or Good", 5="Always or Excellent"

Statement	Mean	Count	1	2	3	4	5
1. My TA demonstrates command of the subject matter.	4.86	21	0	0	0	3	18
2. My TA is fully prepared for class, laboratory or review section.	4.82	17	0	0	0	3	14
3. My TA provides clear and comprehensive explanations and instructions.	4.74	19	0	0	0	5	14
4. My TA emphasizes the conceptual basis of the problem set or the lab experiment.	4.69	16	0	0	1	3	12
5. My TA encourages students to think in class by asking questions.	4.69	13	0	0	0	4	9
6. My TA makes me feel free to ask questions and express my opinions.	4.79	19	0	0	0	4	15
7. My TA provides clear, relevant and understandable responses to my questions.	4.84	19	0	0	0	3	16
8. My TA is actively helpful when students need assistance.	4.84	19	0	0	0	3	16
9. My TA seems enthusiastic about teaching the material.	4.88	17	0	0	0	2	15
10. My TA communicates clearly.	4.85	20	0	0	0	3	17
11. My TA periodically checks to make sure students understand what was covered.	4.50	14	0	0	2	3	9
12. My TA provides periodic summaries of what has been covered or discussed.	4.62	13	0	0	1	3	9
13. My TA is effective at relating lecture material to what is covered in section or lab.	4.77	13	0	0	0	3	10
14. My TA makes effective use of illustrations and examples.	4.73	15	0	0	0	4	11
15. My TA is fair in grading.	4.86	21	0	0	0	3	18
16. My TA provides helpful comments on my assignments.	4.73	22	0	0	2	2	18
17. My TA makes effective use of visual aides (blackboards, overhead, slides etc).	4.79	14	0	0	1	1	12
18. My TA divides his/her time equitably among laboratory groups.	4.69	13	0	0	1	2	10
19. Overall, how would you rate the quality of your TA's teaching?	4.78	18	0	0	0	4	14
20. Not including your TA, evaluate this course as a whole (1 being poor, 5 being great).	4.52	21	0	0	1	8	12

21. How do you interact with this TA?	Grader	Lab	Office Hours	Section	Other
	17	0	16	0	1

Engineering TA Evaluations

Course: CS 6210

Semester: Fall 2016

Number of Responses: 22

Faculty Name: Bindel

TA Name: David Eriksson

0. Other ways you interacted with this TA

72794: Piazza

Engineering TA Evaluations

Course: CS 6210

Semester: Fall 2016

Number of Responses: 22

Faculty Name: Bindel

TA Name: David Eriksson

1. Comment on the TA's teaching strengths as well as areas in which improvement is needed or encouraged.

74011: David is extremely competent with respect to understanding the material being covered.

This can make it difficult for him to understand when students in office hours are or are not following along, especially considering the generally varied backgrounds of students in the class.

He has gotten better as the semester continues, but sometimes a little more hand-holding would be appreciated.

73878: Enthusiastic and knowledgable. Also genuinely seems to want to help students.

73608: very good at providing assistance/clarity with HW

72850: What a guy

Hes really good at rocket league

72794: David always gives useful feedback on assignments and grades very promptly.

72429: Extremely friendly, approachable, patient, methodical.

72115: David is great, he really understand the subject.

71953: David is helpful, understanding, and grades super fast. He's a great TA.

Engineering TA Evaluations

Course: CS 6210

Semester: Fall 2016

Number of Responses: 22

Faculty Name: Bindel

TA Name: David Eriksson

71884: David is great at explaining confusing topics during office hours. His grading is fair and he takes the time to do examples or work through problems with us.

Engineering TA Evaluations

Course: CS 6210

Semester: Fall 2016

Number of Responses: 22

Faculty Name: Bindel

TA Name: David Eriksson

2. Comment on the TA's communication strategies. Did the TA effective use gestures, movement, voice inflection, and maintain eye contact? Was language a barrier to your understanding? If so, in what way?

73608: very good communicator. I have never had difficulties in this regard

73878: The TA communicates very clearly.

72794: I have never interacted with him in person, but his communications on Piazza and in assignment comments are always clear and precise.

72429: Great use of board. Excellent communication of concepts.

72115: He is an excellent communicator. Language hasn't been a problem.

71884: He writes on the board when it is necessary, but generally just talking through the lecture notes or problem statement is helpful.

74011: David communicates effectively and clearly.

Engineering TA Evaluations

Course: CS 6210

Semester: Fall 2016

Number of Responses: 22

Faculty Name: Bindel

TA Name: David Eriksson

3. Do you have any additional comments?

72429: Sometimes my TA gives a little too much away during office hours. Normally I just need the right hint.

74011: If I could do life over, I would find a way to be him.

73878: Nope! Keep being great.

73608: he's great!

73161: Seems like a good guy

72849: Too tall but still an okay dude

72436: TA gives us Swedish candy and it tastes bad :(
