

Engineering TA Evaluations

Course: CS 4220

Semester: Spring 2016

Number of Responses: 17

Faculty Name: Bindel

TA Name: David Eriksson

1="Never or Poor", 2="Rarely or Fair", 3="Sometimes or Satisfactory", 4="Frequently or Good", 5="Always or Excellent"

Statement	Mean	Count	1	2	3	4	5
1. My TA demonstrates command of the subject matter.	4.88	17	0	0	0	2	15
2. My TA is fully prepared for class, laboratory or review section.	4.81	16	0	0	0	3	13
3. My TA provides clear and comprehensive explanations and instructions.	4.59	17	0	0	0	7	10
4. My TA emphasizes the conceptual basis of the problem set or the lab experiment.	4.69	16	0	0	1	3	12
5. My TA encourages students to think in class by asking questions.	4.63	16	0	0	1	4	11
6. My TA makes me feel free to ask questions and express my opinions.	4.76	17	0	0	0	4	13
7. My TA provides clear, relevant and understandable responses to my questions.	4.82	17	0	0	0	3	14
8. My TA is actively helpful when students need assistance.	4.88	17	0	0	0	2	15
9. My TA seems enthusiastic about teaching the material.	4.94	17	0	0	0	1	16
10. My TA communicates clearly.	4.88	17	0	0	0	2	15
11. My TA periodically checks to make sure students understand what was covered.	4.38	16	0	0	2	6	8
12. My TA provides periodic summaries of what has been covered or discussed.	4.54	13	0	0	2	2	9
13. My TA is effective at relating lecture material to what is covered in section or lab.	4.77	13	0	0	0	3	10
14. My TA makes effective use of illustrations and examples.	4.40	15	0	0	2	5	8
15. My TA is fair in grading.	5.00	17	0	0	0	0	17
16. My TA provides helpful comments on my assignments.	4.82	17	0	0	0	3	14
17. My TA makes effective use of visual aides (blackboards, overhead, slides etc).	4.76	17	0	0	0	4	13
18. My TA divides his/her time equitably among laboratory groups.	4.82	11	0	0	0	2	9
19. Overall, how would you rate the quality of your TA's teaching?	4.82	17	0	0	0	3	14
20. Not including your TA, evaluate this course as a whole (1 being poor, 5 being great).	4.18	17	0	0	3	8	6

21. How do you interact with this TA?	Grader	Lab	Office Hours	Section	Other
	10	0	10	4	6

Engineering TA Evaluations

Course: CS 4220

Semester: Spring 2016

Number of Responses: 17

Faculty Name: Bindel

TA Name: David Eriksson

0. Other ways you interacted with this TA

70877: Piazza questions;

70565: Piazza questions

70348: lectures during professor's absence

70180: Sometimes fills in as lecturer

69612: Piazza, Lecture (when Professor Bindel is not present)

70944: Piazza posts

Engineering TA Evaluations

Course: CS 4220

Semester: Spring 2016

Number of Responses: 17

Faculty Name: Bindel

TA Name: David Eriksson

1. Comment on the TA's teaching strengths as well as areas in which improvement is needed or encouraged.

70877: He goes through topics clearly. He can improve his board-writing.

70149: David breaks down confusing problems very effectively, both in Office Hours and Piazza. Likes to use white board to illustrate concepts and give concise, but detailed hints on Piazza.

70180: He is very approachable and easy to ask question of. I think he could do a better job of asking us questions and making sure we are on track with him.

70189: Great grasp of the material

70272: Pretty good. Maybe during the lectures he could provide more examples though

70348: Knows material very well, maybe could slow down a little bit in lectures.

70804: He explains specific concepts very well; it would sometimes be helpful if when teaching he also went into more depth.

69598: Communicates very clearly and keeps students updated on grading progress.

70944: The TA is very good at articulating the answers to our questions and relating the answers to what we learned in class.

Engineering TA Evaluations

Course: CS 4220

Semester: Spring 2016

Number of Responses: 17

Faculty Name: Bindel

TA Name: David Eriksson

70565: He is very clear in explaining things.

69987: Very approachable and helpful.

Engineering TA Evaluations

Course: CS 4220

Semester: Spring 2016

Number of Responses: 17

Faculty Name: Bindel

TA Name: David Eriksson

2. Comment on the TA's communication strategies. Did the TA effectively use gestures, movement, voice inflection, and maintain eye contact? Was language a barrier to your understanding? If so, in what way?

70189: always fantastic

69598: A slight accent, but communicates very nicely.

69987: TA uses the whiteboard effectively, and presents ideas in a very clear way.

70944: Since my communication with the TA is mainly through Piazza, the only thing to comment on is his explanations. His explanations are thorough without giving away the answer.

70180: He is very easy to communicate with.

70348: Usually very clear, language was not a barrier. It just takes some time to actually absorb the concepts.

70565: He has only taught a few lectures, so I can't comment too much, but I had no problem understanding him or engaging with the material.

70614: Spent a little long talking into the board instead of away from it, but still a good job.

70804: Yes, he is very easy to communicate with!

Engineering TA Evaluations

Course: CS 4220

Semester: Spring 2016

Number of Responses: 17

Faculty Name: Bindel

TA Name: David Eriksson

70877: He can improve his interaction with students.

70164: Very friendly, engaging and patient

Engineering TA Evaluations

Course: CS 4220

Semester: Spring 2016

Number of Responses: 17

Faculty Name: Bindel

TA Name: David Eriksson

3. Do you have any additional comments?

69598: David is the most lovable TA I've ever had.

70944: n/a

70348: N/A

70272: I couldn't un-click the radio buttons on this survey so the questions I answered about lab/section do not apply here. I answered them before reading about not to answer if the question does not apply to the situation.

70180: He is one of the best TAs I've had at Cornell. Great demand of the material, just remember that we are sometimes 5 steps behind you (or more) in understanding.
